

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,191 12/10/2001		12/10/2001	William Gaarde	RTS-0274	8633	
35807	7590	02/05/2004		EXAMINER		
	FENWICK & WEST LLP 801 CALIFORNIA STREET				MCGARRY, SEAN	
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94014				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1635			
				DATE MAIL ED. 02/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/006,191	GAARDE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sean R McGarry	1635					
The MAILING DATE of this communicated for Reply	ation appears on the cover shet wi	th th correspondenc address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or the third of the	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON II, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.						
0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do							
	the priority documents have been						
application from the Internationa	•	received in this realistic stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action		received.					
Attachmant(a)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Intensions C	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	D-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	FO/SB/08) 5) Notice of In 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1635

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 2-14, drawn to a compound 8 to 50 nucleobases in length that inhibits connective tissue growth factor expression, classified in class 536, subclass 24.5.
- II. Claims 15-20, drawn to a method of treating disease via a compound 8 to 50 nucleobases in length that inhibits connective tissue growth factor expression, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the compounds of Group I may be used in a hybridization assay, for example.

Group I Further Restricted

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121 and 37 C.F.R. 1.141, the antisense sequences listed in claim 3 are subject to restriction. Group I is therefor further restricted as follows.

Art Unit: 1635

The Commissioner has partially waived the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.141 and will permit a reasonable number of such nucleotide sequences to be claimed in a single application. Under this policy, up to 10 of independent and distinct nucleotide sequences will be examined in a single application. (see MPEP 803.04 and 2434)

Claim 3 specifically claims 69 antisense oligonucleotides by SEQ ID NOS, each of which is targeted to and modulates the expression of a nucleic acid encoding connective tissue growth factor. Although the antisense sequences claimed each target and modulate expression of the same gene, the instant antisense sequences are considered to be unrelated, since each antisense sequence claimed is structurally and functionally independent and distinct for the following reasons: each antisense sequence has a unique nucleotide sequence, each antisense sequence targets a different and specific region of a nucleic acid encoding connective tissue growth factor, and each antisense, upon binding to a nucleic acid encoding connective tissue growth factor, functionally modulates (increases or decreases) the expression of the gene and to varying degree (per applicants' Table in the specification). Furthermore, a search of more than one (1) of the antisense sequences claimed in claim 3 presents an undue burden on the Patent and Trademark Office due to the complex nature of the search and corresponding examination of more than one (1) of the claimed antisense sequences. In view of the foregoing, one (1) antisense sequence is considered to be a reasonable number of sequences for examination. Accordingly, applicants are required to elect one (1) antisense sequence from claim 3.

Art Unit: 1635

Claims 2, 4-10, 12, and 13 are generic to Group I.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions recited in claim 3. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance,

Art Unit: 1635

whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1635

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R McGarry whose telephone number is (571) 272-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (571) 272-0760. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SRM

SEAN MCGARRY PRIMARY EXAMINER

635